THE POlitics of Moderman Raymend Wilkens

As a lay reader the introduction is difficult, a case of ploughing through a welter of terms which INTROBUCTION are olay on occasion and individually but send me to sleep when encountried en muse like here. In The probem on "MODERNISM" over the years for myself has been the need to have the thing defined almost everytime I du in a situation where it is being used. Raymond Williams was involved in a project for "a possible book" on THE POLITICS, OF MODERNOY and it is fine that in the posthernorsly soll Collected esseys Comprising this book he sets attempts to define the subject WHEN WAS MODERNISM ?

The term itself has been retrospecture since 1950's All (we) can do is be POST MODENISTS, what about we who have herer been able to comprehend the term

in any startic, meaningful way! "Leterming to process" why don't we pull in

the great social realists - Gogol Flowburk Dickens

in precedence over Provst Katka Joyce?

032/3 The "ideology of Modernism" selects and allyns luter artists with Freud's " primary of sub/un conscious

" the denaturalization of language and the naive view

of language as mirror or transperent glass

Author now appears in Text!! "Self reflexive text" repudiales externel " The above is the thoroetic contours" authority

williams saying we only have to look at the names in the "real history" to see the ideologising that goes on. Although he also agrees that there is Something dislocating that does occur in mid 19th metropolis - transactional capitals of Art " CITY OF STRANGERS" restless emigre or exile conti-bourgeois "mainfestes of post revolutionary formation" singular narrative raised to level of universal myth the lovely writer in shabby ovom in unknowable aty" This "modernism" divides. In remaining Anti Books 8018 its idea of art is sanctified beyond money a commerce - or else seen as the liberating vancoad of popular consciuent But will it the book by the waterwares now so in p34 command it is presumed that there is nothing beyond it. History gets stopped dead. This 35 Modernism becomes comfortably integrated into the New internetional capitalism "the lonely hero takes his place as star of the Tunler. The innovations of Modernion so called are the fixed forms of our present moment. To get freeze must search out an alternative tradition (of Post modernin from the neglected works - an inhuman rewriting of the past to a modern FUTURE wherein community can be imagined again."

page 3 2 METTOPOLITAN PORPTIONS/Emercionce of Maderman Williams saying that behind the way MODENISM D44 is regarded is straight ideology - a right wing ideology we look at modernism in isolation, not seeing to the but we print for williams the importance of the fact of so many artists deric existing in the city doing what they do - not a negotion alone. How many major innovators were immigrants? point of wealth/power/state/acaclemy 45 for GOOD QUOTE Language became weens to an end, not a social custom (METROPOLISSmust be STRESSED, Seeing the imperial and capitalist metropolis as a specific historical form One level must be chillenged: the metropolitain interpretation of its own processes as universels, NOBEL, THE INVENTOR OF DYNAMITE THE POUTICS OF THE AVANT GARDE the importance of Strindberg and Nietzsche the association of expormental writer with revolutionary characterised by Stringborg 3 attacks "hadred of the sexes as fundamental law" defence of the strong and wise against foolish a small (democrati) -aggresiveness of women a symptom of regress of ruce " language of Social Darwinsm: into cultural Darwinism strindberg attributing regress to Christianity and applands (helsoches attack on it.

The real history of modernon is not a history 4 of "singular men" but the tenbulent succession of artistic movements and cultural formations" Here distinguishes between modernism and avant goods 52/3 "Futurism" as rightist (Fascist break will truch the Contract between MODERNISM and ROMEMTICISM in the - of spiritual/natural creativity Borroesis 53/4 the 19th century conception of aristocrat noto the " pathetic " idea of artist as the aristocrat into "cult of genrys, supernon etc." 55 artist as "ultimate apothoses of that central bourgeois figure; the sovereign individual in "a main dement of modernism was that it was an authentic avant garde, in personal desires and relationships of the successful and evolving bourgeoisie itself " SYNCHRONIC - considering avents out of history " striking characteristic of several movements 58 within both Modorium and the avant garde 3 the seeking after the "primative / exotic / folk/ pouks etc - the "innately creative" "pre-rational" " vitality of the naive" 59 "eventual rejection of all politics in the name of the desper realities of the dynamic psyche" 60 "Literary modernism moved explicitly to the nicht 61 " avant garde political position, bourgeois dissidents vanguard of truly modern international bourge oisie since 1945 the NEW RIGHT, Juppiedom liberturamon - sovercion individual but dependent totally on concentration of economic/military power modern art into commercial art

LANGUAGE & THE AVANT GARDE BRECHT -> BENN Williams immediately putting the case that the right has appropriated a selective version of Modernin a way of ratifying narrowa positions and procedures " struidberg's manifesto an Naturalism taken as such 66 for Modernish Williams good here. "ideological presentation" Williams wants to be diachronic. Modernism (EIGHTIST) logins from BAUDGLANCE "conventional delinection of avent garde 1910-1930, I whe resurrection of the word - defines literary MODERM 1917 - HUGO BALL sound poetry - fulse analogies 68 · abandoning sementic freight 69 Symbolism verses naturalism inner V reflex ive ress SURREACISM and "automatic writing" Conventional New is That MODERNISM begins from bandelaire and this is very msteading according to williams NEGATIVE CONTIGET

The term cult of failure is used by people who are on the defensive against
being thought to have committed one compromise too many, in other words, they
are attacking those who by word or action are making them appear to have sold
out. And usually this is because they have sold out. The argument is tricky
though; it revolves around the idea of the individual and society, whether it
is possible to live in your society and yet be so opposed to the workings of
it that you try to be outwith it. The artist is definitely a good example.
It is possible to be an artist whose art is an attack on the prevalent
values of society, and yet find that society wishes to reward you by paying
you money or glory or both. I doubt whether it happens a lot but it certainly
does happen and has happened to myself although ${\tt I}$ am aware it can be said that
whether or not my work is an attack on the prevalent values of society is a
matter of opinion. Some people might view me as a right-wing shite; a libera
middle class wanker; whatever. For the sake of this arguement though it does
not matter. The crucial point is simply that society will often reward an
artist who is attacking it. It is not necessary to go into the motives of
society in doing this but they will primarily concern cash. The work of an
artist can be bought and sold in the market place; it does not really matter
what the subject is, if it can be sold sell it. Occasionally an artist can
retain control of what is happening to some extent. Take as an example the
award of 'the prize'. I have been awarded a prize on more than one occasion.
And the truth is I could have refused the prize, but I havent. By refusing
the prize I would have been steering clear of something I dont regard as one
of society's better deals for artists. I am not toally opposed to prizes -
obviously - otherwise I wouldnt have accepted them. Sometimes the need for
cash is too pressing. But the prize can be refused. An artist can make
statements about her/himself in relation to society by that refusal. The
hard part starts when an artist who doesnt get offered a prize decries the
system that awards them. This is when those who are a part of the system
and arent doing too badly by it will want to use the 'cult of failure' label.
They will do all that is possible to make out that those who criticise do so
entirely out out of envy or malice. It becomes impossible to criticise
someone who has 'made it' if you who are the critic not 'made it'.

that reality has to be "what is "

what is and what is allowed with a supplied what is "

No distinction Between what is & what is."

Notes for a Criticism of the production (direction of)
The London version of IN THE NIGHT

ways of seeing the text (sculpture) not to take from, nor to put in, unless very sure - how if it is structural that one alteration has ramifications throughout the different veins (possibilities)

How come the text seems to exist only as the point of departure

that structural tampering must throw out welter upon welter of nontext as the director seeks to find some internal consistency, like when one lie leads to a world of deceit: it is then when the play is no longer what it is (was); it is no longer an interpretation — it has become a new play, a different play

that it does not become a different play but a shambles of the original, inconsistent; a stridency; too physical - the attempt to bludgeon the audience, to bludgeon the actual play itself

and then the one-liners arrive because there is no resonance; there is no networking; there aren't any veins leading in and out from the kernel, the heart - the heart as the core of the play and all the themes, the veins, bringing life and giving life

the play different to stories and to poems, and to painting, sculpture - closer to a piece of music composed for different instruments

where the conductor uses a guitar instead of a clavichord, and dispenses with the composer's timing altogether

no resonance, such that the actors become strident and over emphatic generally trying to give significance in that bald way; and the director will have been doing the same earlier, the cohesion now gone, every man and woman for his/her self

that method of seeing dialogue in the 'english' tradition, as something disassociated from the individual beings who are actually involved in the speaking